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Structures tell stories. Martin Luther knew this when he designed the first Protestant church in Torgau, Germany. Prior to the construction of this chapel for the castle of Luther’s protector, the Elector John Frederick I, Protestant services were held mainly in churches that were formerly Roman Catholic. The main architectural change that occurred when Protestants took control of such churches was the replacement of a cross on the spire of the church with a rooster, symbol of the new dawn of the Reformation. And it was not rare in the competing tides of Reformation times that if Roman Catholic forces returned to power, they would replace the rooster with another cross.

Each faith movement signaled its control by the changed “hood ornament” most obvious to all in the town or region, but the basic architecture of the church changed little. Thus, when Luther had the opportunity to design a church that would reflect the new perspectives of the Reformation, he made sure that the basic structure of the church would convey the gospel story he wanted to tell. No structural change would have been more obvious to sixteenth-century worshipers than the placement of the pulpit. In deliberate contrast with the Roman Catholic practice of placing the pulpit at the front of the congregation, Luther arranged for the pastor to preach among the people. The pulpit was at the center of the long wall of the worship sanctuary. In addition, the altar, while still located at the
front of the church, was no longer separated from the people by screens
that had designated sacred space for clergy alone.

Luther preached “the priesthood of believers,” and his structures conveyed
the same message. The placement of the pulpit silently explained that the
preacher was not more holy than the people. He ministered among them
because all were fulfilling holy callings as they served God in the occupations
for which he had gifted them. The architecture of the altar “said” there was
no need for priestly intercession or separation, since everyone had equal
and immediate access to God. The early Calvinistic churches of the French
Reformation pushed the idea further by putting the pulpit in the center of a
circled congregation.¹ This structure not only symbolized the priesthood of
believers, but also asserted the centrality of the Word in Christian worship.

Informed, Not Ruled

I do not mention these architectural details in order to mandate designs for
church architecture. In fact, the various ways in which the Reformers expressed
their views can also argue for the liberties in church architecture that modern
Christians have obviously exercised. But such freedom is best applied when
we have some sense of the story we are trying to tell, and this requires un-
derstanding our place in God’s unfolding plan for his church. We should not
ignore the wisdom of church forebears just because it’s old, or automatically
reject it just because we didn’t think of it. We consider the history because
God does not give all of his wisdom to any one time or people. Slavish loyalty
to traditions will keep us from ministering effectively to our generation, but
trashing the past entirely denies God’s purposes for the church on which we
must build. If we do not learn from the past, we will lose insights God has
granted others as they have interacted with his Word and people.

Always we are to be informed by tradition; never are we to be ruled by
it. The Word of God is our only infallible rule of faith and practice, but
an unwillingness to consider what previous generations have learned about
applying God’s Word discloses either naïveté or arrogance. God intends
for us to stand on the shoulders of those faithful before us. He gives us a
mission for our time, but he also gives us a history to prepare us for our
present calling. Without critically and constructively examining this foun-
dation we are ill equipped for building the church God wants today. This
is true not only for the structures of church architecture, but also for the
structures of church worship.

Designed to Communicate

Just as church leaders through the ages have structured their buildings to reflect their understanding of the gospel, they have also structured what happens inside those buildings to do the same. Already we have seen how the placement of pulpit, altar, and pew could convey a message. What was done in the pulpit, at the altar, and in the pew was also structured to communicate. For example, in the Roman Catholic Mass, the priest stood between the altar and the people when dispensing the elements to symbolize his intercessory role. By contrast, many Protestant Reformers intentionally stood behind the Communion Table when administering the Lord’s Supper to demonstrate the people’s immediate access to Christ.2 The physical placement of the furniture, pastor, and people was designed to communicate a clear gospel message: “Nothing and no one comes between Christ and the believer.”

We may think that “the medium is the message” is a modern insight, but the ancient church practiced such communication principles long before Marshall McLuhan coined the phrase. Church leaders understood that if the message was inconsistent with the means by which it was communicated, then the message could easily get lost. Thus, they painted the message of the gospel with every communication brush their structures would provide: building architecture, decoration, pulpit design, furniture placement, the position of worship leaders, and even the placement of participants in the worship service.

Never was there only one right structure for communicating the gospel for all regions, cultures, and times. Nor was adequate wisdom always applied. Sometimes the truth of the message got lost in embellishment; other times the beauty of the gospel was veiled in reactionary starkness. But in every age, including our own, those who build churches have been forced to consider how their understanding of the gospel gets communicated by the structures in which it is presented.

Gospel Worship

Gospel understanding is not only embedded in physical structures, but it is also communicated in the worship patterns of the church.3 The structure

of a church’s worship service is called its liturgy.4 Many Protestants think “liturgy” only describes highly ceremonial worship in Catholic, Orthodox, or Anglican churches. We normally talk about our worship in terms of a “Sunday service” or the “worship time.” The activities that surround the Sermon we may describe as the “song service,” “the service of prayer,” or simply as “the worship.” However, the biblical word for all that’s included in our worship is “liturgy” (latreia, see Rom. 12:1), and it simply describes the public way a church honors God in its times of gathered praise, prayer, instruction, and commitment.5 All churches that gather to worship have a liturgy—even if it’s a very simple liturgy.

The customary ways that a church arranges the aspects and components of its public worship form its liturgical tradition. Similar to church architecture, a church’s traditional worship practices can be very elaborate (sometimes called liturgical, or high church) or simple (non-liturgical, or low church). The differences in worship services can be significant, leading many onlookers to think there is no rhyme or reason to the varying liturgical approaches. In this increasingly secularized era, even church leaders may not know why different elements of their worship services are present or sequenced as they are—and may think everything is up for grabs as long as people are not put off by the changes.

But, analogous to church architecture, the order of worship (another way of describing the liturgy) conveys an understanding of the gospel. Whether one intends it or not, our worship patterns always communicate something. Even if one simply goes along with what is either historically accepted or currently preferred, an understanding of the gospel inevitably unfolds. If a leader sets aside time for Confession of Sin6 (whether by prayer, or by song, or by Scripture reading), then something about the gospel gets communicated. If there is no Confession in the course of the service, then something else is communicated—even though the message conveyed may not have been intended.

Similar to church architecture, differing church traditions and cultural contexts have resulted in great variation in the structure of Christian liturgy. But, also similar to the physical structures of the church, where the truths of the gospel are maintained there remain commonalities of worship structure that transcend culture. Despite having great architectural variety,

6. Here and elsewhere in this book terms such as Confession of Sin that may have a common and generic meaning in Christian devotion are capitalized when they refer to a distinct or formal component of a worship service.
Christian churches still have common denominators: a place to proclaim the Word; a place to gather for prayer, praise, and receiving the Word; a place to administer and receive the sacraments; and others. No one has imposed these architectural features on all churches; rather, the way we dispense, receive, and respond to the gospel in a corporate setting has necessitated these familiar structures. For similar reasons, there are common liturgical structures that transcend individual contexts and traditions.

**Gospel Continuity**

Liturgy tells a story. We tell the gospel by the way we worship. Where a church maintains the truths of the gospel, it inevitably discovers aspects of worship that are in harmony with other faithful churches. In fact, worshiping with these aspects is one important way a church maintains fidelity with the gospel.

Because they understood the importance of our worship, early church fathers designed an architecture for worship that is still reflected in most churches today. As early as the second century, records indicate that the church divided its worship into two major segments: the Liturgy of the Word (see chart 1.1 on page 23) and the Liturgy of the Upper Room (see chart 1.2 on page 24). Today we think of the Liturgy of the Word as the portion of the worship service that culminates in preaching. We think of the Liturgy of the Upper Room as the part of the worship service that includes the Lord’s Supper, or Communion. Even if our churches do not practice Communion every week, they still typically break the service into these two major segments on the occasions the ordinance is observed. By moving from Proclamation to Communion in the order of worship, churches through the ages retell the story that those who truly hear God’s Word will share his love.

My hope in writing this book is that readers who just had an “aha” moment in the preceding paragraph—discovering that their worship pattern unites them with multiple centuries of fellow Christians who have worshiped similarly—will also be delighted to find how their worship can unite them in mission with those fellow believers. In every age, we worship God to further the cause of his gospel. We know the “good news” of that gospel as we recognize the holiness of our Creator, confess our sin, seek his

---


---

grace, are assured of his mercy, give him thanks, petition his aid, seek his instruction, and, in loving response to all his mercies, live for him. Charts 1.1 and 1.2 (see pages 23–24) show how different church traditions have tried to express these gospel truths through the architecture of their liturgy.

Liturgy Strategy

At first glance, what will be most apparent about these liturgies are their differences. Looking at them will be something like observing the skyline of a modern city. All we will see initially are the different shapes, sizes, and complexities of the structures. But the more we observe, and the more the architecture is explained, the more we will begin to understand that each of the architects built with the same basic materials and design principles. Form varies according to specific functions and design intentions, but every architect still had to make sure walls bore the proper weight, ceilings were the right height, and foundations were laid at sufficient depth. After further study, we may conclude that some did not design or build as well as others, but we will also see that the most successful still had to learn from those who preceded them. No one built without considering what others had learned.

Perhaps the simplest way to begin seeing common patterns among all the varying details of these charts is to note that even the two basic divisions of the liturgy have separate movements. The Liturgy of the Word, in each of the five traditions listed, has elements that lead to the preaching of the Word. Preaching is not the only thing done in the Liturgy of the Word. There is “Preparation” prior to “Proclamation.” This “Preparation,” as we will soon see, is not random or arbitrary. The components of the worship service prior to and after the Sermon lead the heart through various stages of awe, humility, assurance, and thanksgiving to make us receptive and responsive to the instruction of the Word. There is a strategy to the liturgy.

Opening “Stuff”

We will unfold the beauty and power of this strategy in later chapters. Essential now is the realization of how sad is the common misperception of what happens prior to the Sermon in many Protestant churches. I often hear that misperception when I am invited to preach during a regular pastor’s absence from a local church. A lay leader will often orient me to the worship service with words similar to these: “I’ll take care of the opening stuff, so that you can do the sermon.”
That “opening stuff” is in most people’s minds the requisite assortment of hymns and prayers that we need to chug through prior to the “real thing”—the Sermon. The “stuff” that fills the time early in the service is considered only the prelude to the Sermon, the opening act to the main event, or the pleasantries we need to get past so that we can get to the “meat of the matter.” Typically no one thinks much about the “opening stuff,” and no one is going to complain about it unless someone changes the traditional order, changes a familiar tune, or forgets the offering.

If a complaint comes, it is not likely to be based on a rationale rooted in gospel priorities. People will instead talk about their lack of comfort with what is personally unfamiliar or uninspiring, or about someone else’s lack of respect for what is traditional. Because they have not been taught to think of the worship service as having gospel purposes, people instinctively think of its elements only in terms of personal preference: what makes me feel good, comfortable, or respectful.

Gospel Goals

One great advantage of looking at the specifics of the different worship liturgies below is seeing that their designers had loftier goals than satisfying personal preferences. Church leaders designed their orders of worship to communicate the truths of Scripture, touch the hearts of worshipers with the implications of those truths, and then equip believers to live faithfully in the world as witnesses to those truths. We may not agree with the way all of these liturgies frame the truths of the gospel, but it’s hard to fault the missional impulse behind their designs. Our goal, therefore, should not be to mimic the liturgies that follow, but to learn how the church has used worship to fulfill gospel purposes through the ages so that we can intelligently design worship services that will fulfill gospel purposes today.

In order for us to think of worship in gospel terms, we need to be careful not to think only in evangelistic terms. While the gospel includes the good news of God’s grace for those who would turn to him in faith, the gospel is not just for outsiders or unbelievers. Great power lies in the line popular among young Christians today: “We must preach the gospel to our own hearts every day.”
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to equip his people for this day, every day, and forever. We need this gospel to enter Christ’s kingdom, but we also need it to walk with him through our daily trials and demands. This is the gospel the ancient liturgies teach, and the best liturgies still echo. Examples are grouped together in charts 1.1 and 1.2, so that we can begin to see common patterns before analyzing important differences and, ultimately, discovering how they unite to inform our gospel purposes today:

Rome

The Roman Catholic liturgy had a pervasive and profound influence on later liturgies in Western culture. My depiction of that order of worship is intentionally sparse, reflecting Catholic worship prior to the sixteenth-century Council of Trent, when much additional complexity was added to aid the sacramental emphases of that tradition. The division of the Roman liturgy into two main movements relating to Word and sacrament (seen in all the liturgies above) becomes foundational for all subsequent liturgies. These divisions of worship are evident as early as the second century. The Protestant Reformer John Calvin also specifies these two movements as the Liturgy of the Word and the Liturgy of the Upper Room in his worship book, *The Form of Ecclesiastical Prayers and Songs.*

These basic divisions in the order of worship are probably even older than the liturgies listed here. Barkley says these divisions were reflected in synagogue worship, where a declaration of the mighty acts of God was followed by a response of the people. He further subdivides the two major movements by saying that the Liturgy of the Word “… divides into two sections consisting of the Old Liturgy of the Word derived from the synagogue, basically the proclamation of the mighty acts of God, and the … introduction, consisting of preparation to receive the Word.” Thus, we follow an ancient practice when we sequence our worship services to flow from the Preparation for the Word to the Proclamation of the Word. As a result of centuries of continuity regarding these basic divisions of worship, there has been significant similarity among various traditions’ general worship pattern despite great differences in individual elements of their liturgies.

Reformers

The liturgies of key Reformation influencers (Luther, Calvin, and the Westminster Assembly) are also schematized and are based on key docu-

## Chart 1.1 General Structures of Historic Liturgies—Liturgy of the Word

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rome</th>
<th>Luther</th>
<th>Calvin</th>
<th>Westminster</th>
<th>Rayburn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Liturgy of the Word</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choral Introit</td>
<td>Entrance Hymn Introt</td>
<td>Scripture Sentence (e.g., Ps. 121:2)</td>
<td>Call to Worship Opening Prayer: • Adoration</td>
<td>Call to Worship Hymn of Praise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Kyrie</strong> (“Lord have mercy”)</td>
<td>Kyrie</td>
<td>Confession of Sin (with pardon at Strasbourg)</td>
<td>• Supplication for Grace</td>
<td>Invocation (or Adoration Prayer)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gloria</strong> Salutation (“The Lord be with you . . .”)</td>
<td>Gloria Salutation</td>
<td>Psalm Sung</td>
<td>• Supplication for Illumination</td>
<td>Assurance of Grace</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collect(s)</td>
<td>Collect</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prayer of Intercession (with Lord’s Prayer optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Testament Reading</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ten Commandments (sung with Kyries at Strasbourg)</td>
<td>Old Testament Reading Psalm Sung</td>
<td>Old Testament Reading Hymn or Anthem</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiphonal Chant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epistle Reading</td>
<td>Epistle Reading</td>
<td>Gradual</td>
<td>New Testament Reading Psalm Sung</td>
<td>New Testament Reading</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gradual (a psalm sung)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Confession and Intercession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alleluia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prayer for Illumination (with Lord’s Prayer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gospel Reading</td>
<td>Gospel Reading Apostles’ Creed Sermon Hymn</td>
<td>Scripture Reading</td>
<td>Scripture Reading</td>
<td>Sermon Scripture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sermon</td>
<td>Sermon</td>
<td>Sermon</td>
<td>Sermon</td>
<td>Sermon</td>
<td>Thanksgiving and Service Prayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nicene Creed Sung (or Gloria)</td>
<td>Post-Sermon Hymn</td>
<td>Psalm Sung</td>
<td>Hymn of Response</td>
<td>Lord’s Prayer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dismissal of Non-communicants</td>
<td>Exhortation</td>
<td>Dismissal (if no Communion)</td>
<td>Dismissal/ Benediction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chart 1.2 General Structures of Historic Liturgies—Liturgy of the Upper Room</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-1570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liturgy of the Upper Room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Always]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offertory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preparation of Elements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(sung as elements prepared)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salutation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucharistic Prayer:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiss of Peace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dismissal Blessing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ments that would set the stage for later developments in each tradition. For example, Luther gave initial liturgical instruction in his *German Mass and Order of God’s Service* (1526); Calvin took ideas from Luther and added his own in what became known as the Geneva Liturgy, described in *The Form of Ecclesiastical Prayers and Songs* (1542); and the Westminster divines appended their thoughts to the Westminster Confession of Faith in their Directory for Publicke Worship (1645).

**Rayburn**

Robert G. Rayburn sought to describe a well-ordered service for evangelical congregations in late-twentieth-century North America in his book, *O Come, Let Us Worship* (1980). Rayburn’s work has not been the most influential on later practice, but it astutely reflects, combines, and anticipates various traditions that are. His work is particularly helpful for examining modern liturgies because it allows us to reflect on adaptations that have both intentionally and unintentionally evolved into common practice. We will not assume that “common” means universal. Even within most denominations, what is common today is a great variety of worship practices and styles. Some churches seek to distance themselves from traditional worship, while others deliberately march toward the future with the goal of resurrecting ancient models. Rayburn’s perspective will give us opportunity to examine each. However, what will become apparent as this book unfolds is that where the gospel is honored, it shapes worship. No church true to the gospel will fail to have echoes of these historic liturgies.

---

**Notes on Charts**

These liturgies represent major movements that have had significant influence in North America. The list of elements in each tradition is not meant to be exhaustive (specifics will be explained later) or to suggest that every worship service in each tradition contained all these elements. The elements are arranged in typical patterns so that those unfamiliar with the various traditions can see the “bones” of each liturgy, while recognizing that each could vary and be fleshed out more. Many more traditions could be shown, but my intention is to demonstrate patterns present in those liturgies most representative of or influential for evangelical Protestant worshipers in North America.

Horizontal lines are for visual clarity and do not necessarily indicate a break in the worship components.